

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

---

Faculty Publications, Department of  
Mathematics

Mathematics, Department of

---

1920

## A Set of Completely Independent Postulates for the Linear Order

$\eta^*$

M. G. Gaba

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/mathfacpub>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

---

Gaba, M. G., "A Set of Completely Independent Postulates for the Linear Order  $\eta^*$ " (1920). *Faculty Publications, Department of Mathematics*. 24.

<https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/mathfacpub/24>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Mathematics, Department of at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications, Department of Mathematics by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

A SET OF COMPLETELY INDEPENDENT POSTULATES FOR THE LINEAR ORDER  $\eta^*$ .

BY PROFESSOR M. G. GABA.

(Read before the American Mathematical Society September 4, 1919.)

PROFESSOR E. V. HUNTINGTON has published<sup>†</sup> three sets of completely independent postulates for serial order. His set  $A$  involves four postulates, which is as high a number of postulates as had been proved completely independent. In the present paper are given seven postulates which form a categorical and completely independent set for the linear order.

Our basis is a class of elements  $[p]$  and an undefined dyadic relation (called 'less than') among the elements. If we are given two elements  $p_1 p_2$  and if the relation  $p_1$  less than  $p_2$  holds, we will symbolize it by  $p_1 < p_2$ . If the relation  $p_1$  less than  $p_2$  does not hold, we will symbolize it by  $p_1 \nless p_2$ .

Our postulates are:

- I. If  $p_1 < p_2$ , then  $p_2 \nless p_1$ .
- II. If  $p_1 \nless p_2$ , then  $p_2 < p_1$ ;  $p_1, p_2$  distinct.
- III. If  $p_1 < p_2$  and  $p_2 < p_3$ , then  $p_1 < p_3$ .
- IV. If  $p_1 < p_2$ , then there exists a  $p_3$  such that  $p_1 < p_3$  and  $p_3 < p_2$ .
- V. For every  $p_1$  there exists a  $p_2$  such that  $p_2 < p_1$ .
- VI. For every  $p_1$  there exists a  $p_2$  such that  $p_1 < p_2$ .
- VII. The class of elements  $[p]$  form a denumerable set.

That the set is categorical follows from the fact that the seven postulates stated are the necessary and sufficient conditions for the linear order  $\eta$ . To show complete independence it will be necessary to cite 128 ( $2^7$ ) examples showing all possible combinations ( $\pm \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm$ ) of our postulates holding and not holding. This is done by giving eight definitions of  $<$ , and sixteen sets of points such that each definition is applicable to every one of the sets, and every combination

---

\* The linear order  $\eta$  is an ordered set equivalent to that of all the rational numbers.

† "Sets of completely independent postulates for serial order." This BULLETIN, March, 1917. This paper contains a bibliography of complete independence.

of definition of  $<$  and set yields a different example. The eight definitions give the eight  $(\pm\pm\pm)$  groups of cases for the implicational postulates I, II and III, whereas each of the sixteen sets gives all the eight cases where any particular set  $(\pm\pm\pm\pm)$  of the existential postulates IV, V, VI and VII hold or do not hold.

For the independence examples, the set  $[p]$  consists of points on a line such that

	IV	V	VI	VII	
1)	-	-	-	-	$p = -3, -2 \leq p \leq 2, p = 3$ and $p$ real.
2)	-	-	-	+	$p = -3, -2 \leq p \leq 2, p = 3$ and $p$ rational.
3)	-	-	+	-	$p = -3, -2 \leq p < 3,$ and $p$ real.
4)	-	-	+	+	$p = -3, -2 \leq p < 3,$ and $p$ rational.
5)	-	+	-	-	$-3 < p \leq 2, p = 3$ and $p$ real.
6)	-	+	-	+	$-3 < p \leq 2, p = 3$ and $p$ rational.
7)	-	+	+	-	$-3 < p \leq 3/2, 2 \leq p < 3,$ and $p$ real.
8)	-	+	+	+	$-3 < p \leq 3/2, 2 \leq p < 3,$ and $p$ rational.
9)	+	-	-	-	$-3 \leq p \leq 3,$ and $p$ real.
10)	+	-	-	+	$-3 \leq p \leq 3,$ and $p$ rational.
11)	+	-	+	-	$-3 \leq p < 3,$ and $p$ real.
12)	+	-	+	+	$-3 \leq p < 3,$ and $p$ rational.
13)	+	+	-	-	$-3 < p \leq 3,$ and $p$ real.
14)	+	+	-	+	$-3 < p \leq 3,$ and $p$ rational.
15)	+	+	+	-	$-3 < p < 3,$ and $p$ real.
16)	+	+	+	+	$-3 < p < 3,$ and $p$ rational.

A definition of  $<$  requires that whenever we are given two numbers of our set  $p_1 p_2$  we have a criterion whereby we can tell whether the relation  $p_1 < p_2$  holds or does not hold. In all the eight definitions of  $<$  the relation holds for any pair of numbers  $p_1 p_2$  if it holds in the case of ordinary linear order,

	I	II	III	
1')	-	-	-	except $0 \nless 1, -1 < -2, 0 < -1$ and $0 < -2$ .
2')	-	-	+	except $1 < -1, 1 < 0, 0 < -1, p_1 < -1, p_1 < 0, p_1 < 1,$ $-1 \nless p_2, 0 \nless p_2$ and $1 \nless p_2; p_1 \neq -1, 0, 1; p_2 \neq -1,$ $0, 1.$
3')	-	+	-	except $0 < -m/2^n, n$ positive integer and $m$ odd positive integer.
4')	-	+	+	except $p_1 < -1, p_1 < 0, p_1 < 1, -1 \nless p_2, 0 \nless p_2,$ and $1 \nless p_2; p_1 \neq 3; p_2 \neq -1, 0, 1.$
5')	+	-	-	and $p_2 - p_1 < 1/3.$
6')	+	-	+	and $p_2 - p_1 = m/2^n, n$ positive integer and $m$ odd integer.
7')	+	+	-	except $0 < -m/2^n$ and $-m/2^n \nless 0, n$ positive integer and $m$ odd positive integer.
8')	+	+	+	with no exceptions.

To illustrate: The independence example where postulates II, III, V, and VII hold and postulates I, IV and VI do not hold  $(-+ +- + - +)$  is definition 4' used on set 6.

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA.